UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/633,288 | 08/01/2003 Charles L. Branch | | MSDI-261/PC750.00 | 3338 | |
| 52196 MEDTRONIC | 7590 03/13/200 | EXAMINER | | | |
| Attn: Noreen Jo | ohnson - IP Legal Depa | RAMANA, ANURADHA | | | |
| 2600 Sofamor I MEMPHIS, TN | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ŕ | | | 3775 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | 03/13/2009 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/633,288 | BRANCH ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Anu Ramana | 3775 | | |

| | Anu Ramana | 3775 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL | n. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims. | | | ne issues for |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1-5,7-14,16-18,20-</u> timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim | (s). | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-29,31-40,42-49 and 55-59. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | be entered and an ex | κplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| March 11, 2009 | /Anu Ramana/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3775 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments to claims 36 and 46 changes the scope of the claims, necessitating further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: Claim 25 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.